

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.  | FILING DATE    | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.      | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|----------------|----------------------|--------------------------|------------------|
| 10/039,009   | 12/31/2001     | Zhi-Hao Lin          | 26870-4                  | 7508             |
| 21130 7  | 590 10/06/2006 |                      | EXAMINER                 |                  |
| BENESCH, FRIEDLANDER, COPLAN & ARONOFF LLP<br>ATTN: IP DEPARTMENT DOCKET CLERK |                |                      | SHIFERAW, ELENI A        |                  |
| 2300 BP TOW  |                | LEKK                 | ART UNIT                 | PAPER NUMBER     |
| 200 PUBLIC SQUARE  |                |                      | 2136                     |                  |
| CLEVELAND  | , OH 44114     |                      | DATE MAIL ED: 10/06/2006 |                  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  | Application No.  | Applicant(s)   |          |  |  |
|--|--|--|----------|--|--|
|  | VICA AT (I DANGARMANT  | LIN, ZHI-HAO   |          |  |  |
| Notice of Abandonment  | Examiner   |  |          |  |  |
|  | Eleni A. Shiferaw  | 2136   |          |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app   |  |  |          |  |  |
| This application is abandoned in view of:  |  |  |          |  |  |
| Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office     (a)    A reply was received on (with a Certificate of N period for reply (including a total extension of time of (b)    A proposed reply was received on, but it does  | failing or Transmission dated<br>month(s)) which expired on _                  | ·  |          |  |  |
| (A proposed reply was received on, but it does  (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 (   | n consists only of: (1) a timely filed and Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); | nendment which places the  |          |  |  |
| (c) A reply was received on but it does not constitution final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See   | ute a proper reply, or a bona fide atte  | mpt at a proper reply, to the no                                     | n-       |  |  |
| (d) 🖾 No reply has been received.  | ı  | •  |          |  |  |
| 2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8   | 3 <b>5</b> ).  |  |          |  |  |
| (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was), which is after the expiration of the statutory particles (PTOL-85).  | eriod for payment of the issue fee (a  | ate of Mailing of Transmission<br>and publication fee) set in the No | otice of |  |  |
| (b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance   |  |  |          |  |  |
| The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$  |  |  |          |  |  |
| (c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no   | ot been received.  |  |          |  |  |
| 3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requal Allowability (PTO-37).  |  |  |          |  |  |
| (a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on after the expiration of the period for reply.   | _ (with a Certificate of Mailing or Trai                                       | nsmission dated), which  | IS       |  |  |
| (b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received.  |  |  |          |  |  |
| 4. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by th the applicants.   | e attorney or agent of record, the ass   | signee of the entire interest, or                                    | all of   |  |  |
| 5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.   | n attorney or agent (acting in a repre   | sentative capacity under 37 CF                                       | R        |  |  |
| 6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interfer of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claim   | rence rendered on and becau<br>ms.   | se the period for seeking court                                      | review   |  |  |
| 7. 🔀 The reason(s) below:  |  |  |          |  |  |
| Examiner called attorney Scatt Harders, 213 363 4  | 443, and he said they abandoned  | the application.   |          |  |  |
| NASSER MOAZZAMI<br>SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER<br>TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100   |  |  |          |  |  |
| N. Committee of the com |  |  |          |  |  |
| (0/62/66   | ow the holding of shandagment under 27   | CER 1 181 should be promptly fil                                     | ed to    |  |  |
| Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdr minimize any negative effects on patent term.  | aw the holding of abandonment under 37   | OF IC 1.101, SHOULD DE PROTECTION                                    |          |  |  |
| U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-1432 (Rev. 04-01)  Notice  | of Abandonment   | Part of Paper No. 200  | 60317    |  |  |